IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

	UNITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA
--	--------	--------	----	----------------

Plaintiff,

V.

No. 00-cr-40013-01-JPG

BRYAN SCOTT GARRETT,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on defendant Bryan Scott Garrett's *pro se* motion (Doc. 251) for a reduction of his criminal sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and United States Sentencing Guidelines Manual ("U.S.S.G.") § 1B1.10. Also before the Court is Assistant Federal Public Defender Judith A. Kuenneke's Motion (Doc. 283) to Withdraw and "No Merits" Statement. The Government has filed a response (Doc. 285) concurring with the reasoning in the motion to withdraw and indicating that the defendant is not eligible for a reduction.

The defendant was found guilty by jury trial of one count of conspiracy to manufacture and distribute methamphetamine; one count of unlawful transportation of firearms; one count of possession of equipment, chemicals, products and materials used to manufacture methamphetamine; and one count of maintaining a place for the purpose of manufacturing methamphetamine. (Doc. 200). On July 12, 2001, the defendant was sentenced to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 432 months, supervised release for a term of eight years, and a fine of \$1,000.00. (Doc. 219). At sentencing, the Court found that the defendant was a career offender under U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1.

¹ 2001 Guideline Manual.

The defendant now asks the Court to apply recent changes to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1 to lower his sentence. Amendment 782 amended U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(c) as of November 1, 2014, to lower some base offense levels associated with various relevant conduct drug amounts. The relevant parts of Amendment 782 were retroactive and became retroactively effective on November 1, 2015. *See* U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(d) & (e)(1) (2014).

Section 3582(c)(2) allows the Court to reduce a defendant's previously imposed sentence where "a defendant . . . has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(o) " In doing so, the Court must consider the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and must ensure that any reduction "is consistent with applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). Thus, a defendant urging a sentence reduction under § 3582(c)(2) must satisfy two criteria: (1) the Sentencing Commission must have lowered the applicable guideline sentencing range, and (2) the reduction must be consistent with applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission. If an amendment does not lower the defendant's applicable guideline range, the Court must deny a sentence reduction on the merits. *United States v. Taylor*, No. 13-2978, 2015 WL 554452, *5 (7th Cir. Feb. 11, 2015).

The defendant cannot satisfy the first criterion because he was not "sentenced to a term of imprisonment based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(o)." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). Although Amendment 782 amended U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(c) to lower some base offense levels associated with various drug amounts, the defendant was sentenced based on his career offender base offense level set forth in

U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1, not the base offense level set forth in U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1. Thus, his offense level

and his guideline range has not been lowered by Amendment 782, and he cannot satisfy the first

criterion under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for obtaining a sentence reduction. See, United States v.

Griffin, 652 F.3d 793, 803 (7th Cir. 2011).

Because the defendant cannot satisfy the first criterion under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for

obtaining a sentence reduction, the Court **DENIES** defendant Bryan Scott Garrett's *pro se* motion

(Doc. 251) for reduction and GRANTS Assistant Federal Public Defender Judith A. Kuenneke's

Motion (Doc. 283) to Withdraw and "No Merits" Statement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 9/12/2016

<u>s/J. Phil Gilbert</u>

J. PHIL GILBERT

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

3